

Pupil Premium Grant Expenditure Objectives 2019 – 2020 Analysis

The Pupil Premium is allocated to schools to work with students who have been registered for free school meals at any point in the last six years (known as 'ever 6 FSM'), Looked After Children, Children Adopted from Care and Children of Service personnel. This funding is not ring fenced to individual children and can be used for initiatives that include, but are not limited to Pupil Premium Students.

The funding for 2019 – 2020 is £935 per Pupil Premium Student for the school year, and £2300 per Looked After Child or previously Looked After Child or Child of Service Personnel in Years 7 - 11.

Overall PPG for 2019 - 2020 is: £140 250

Objectives:

Our objectives are agreed by our governors and are identified following analysis of our plan from the previous year, and new whole school strategies identified in our School Improvement Plan:

- 1. To identify how our Whole School Improvement Plan will positively impact on Disadvantaged students.
- 2. To mitigate barriers to learning experienced by our Disadvantaged students.
- 3. To support Disadvantaged students who experience low mental health issues that negatively impact upon their learning.
- 4. To support Disadvantaged students achieve our expectations and to engage with our Behaviour for Learning Policy.
- 5. To engage parents of Disadvantaged students and support them to access the platforms used to support students with their learning.



Objectives	Target cohort	Actions	Who	Cost	Success Criteria
1	PP students who are	Teachers know who their PP	All teaching staff and	School Cost	No PP student goes under the
	meeting	students are.	faculty TLs.		radar – aiding our 'closing the
	expectations, not on	Teachers make swift	JSA/RMD/BCL		gap 'strategy
	the SEND list or	interventions in their daily			
	monitoring for any	practice to ensure this	Thinking Reading Team		Reflection:
	other reason	cohort and making expected	Progress board		Students from the PP list
	#noinvisiblestudents	or above expected progress.	JCO/MHO		were chosen for additional
		TLs hold teachers to account	AKA		mentoring from the APL
		via assessment practices for	ATA		initial reviews.
		this cohort.			
		Thinking Reading ensures			Students were allocated
		any deficiencies in this			mentoring time, and
		cohort are highlighted as			individual barriers to progress
		soon as possible and the			were discussed and individual
		appropriate interventions			intervention put into place;
		put in place.			for example contact with
		All Rosenshine principles			teachers exploring the
		CPD – plans include this			barriers that the students felt
		cohort e.g. 'no opt out			that they had; initiating
		questioning'			careers interviews where lack
		Provide profiles of Y7 PP			of aspiration was evident and
		students to all teaching staff,			one to one tuition where a
		so that they understand the			significant gap was identified.
		viewpoint of their new			
		cohort.			Between the first and second
		Provide early careers			data drop there was an
		interviews to all PP students.			improvement in the majority



				1	I
		KS4 Alternative Provision			of students with regards ATL
		Strategy including princes			however this measurement
		Trust and Asdan			was halted du to lockdown.
		qualifications.			The y11 students allocated to
		Students identified as not			the alternative education
		making expected progress by			project all received
		Progress Boards in support			qualifications in Asdan,
		of the work of APLs.			Princes Trust and functional
		Prep Support for targeted			skills. All four students are
		students.			now in college pursuing the
					next steps in their education.
					This provision is continuing
					for the new Y10s and 11 –
					again all of whom are PP.
2.	All PP students: have	Ensure all PP students have	Teaching staff.	£16000	PP students do not feature as
	fair and equal access	the correct equipment, and	Form tutors		outliers in Top Form
	to all school	provide it where necessary.	Interventions Team.	Attendance staff	monitoring. This becomes
	provision, so that	Ensure all PP students access	Staff leaders of targeted	related costs: £5139	more apparent in behaviour
	financial	curriculum trips without	extra-curricular provision		statistics as individuals are
	disadvantage does	fuss.	such as Music and CCF		not identified in Top form
	not represent a	Provide extracurricular			monitoring. There is no
	barrier to success at	access where identified as			significance difference
	school.	beneficial			behaviour monitoring
		Provide Y7 catch Up			between PP students and non
		interventions in Maths and			PP students.
		English.			
		Provide targeted KS4			PP students attend all
		support in one to one Maths			curriculum trips. COVID 19
		and English.			cut all trips and this is now an
					ongoing situation, but all PP



	Provide targeted support for	students went on any
	those experiencing	planned curriculum trip prior
	attendance difficulties due	to March 2020
	to financial disadvantage.	
		Targeted Y7 PP students
		make comparable progress in
		the catch up programme to
		non PP students – in English
		and Maths.
		Targeted Y11 PP students
		make progress from their
		starting points in Maths and
		English (usually on a 6 week
		rotation). This true. Pp
		students enjoy and ask for
		these sessions as they see
		them as beneficial to their
		progress. Again statistically,
		the measurement of this was
		cut short in March 2020.
		cut short in whatch 2020.
		PP students do not feature as
		outliers in PA monitoring
		data. PP students are no
		more likely to be PA students
		than non PP students. The
		school works hard to remove
		barriers to attendance and
		potential behaviour issues
<u> </u>		potential behaviour issues



					such as providing support with transport and uniform coasts where appropriate.
3	All PP students identified by The Interventions Team as requiring mental health support	Interventions Team referral and mental health allocation: Mental health assemblies and support work via PHSE provision, including form time support. Pastoral Support SEND support and strategy including intervention strategy Mental Health Assessment. Oasis Support. First Aid support. In school counselling. In school mentoring – adult mentoring and peer mentoring. Young carers strategy Y7 transition strategies In school Team Around the Family meetings. Out of school interventions i.e. outside agencies referred to for support – e.g. Children's Society, Evolve	Interventions Team Form Tutors Social Science Faculty Pastoral Team SEND Team XJL/XIJ/ATA XJP/ZSL/XLH/XHR/XTF/JCO XKK/JCO XAHW/ATA XGD/JCO	staff related costs - Oasis & Pastoral =£29305 - SEND & Interventions =£67586	Student voice explains how their mental health needs are supported. The number of school sessions lost to reported mental health issues are reduced. PP students are not outliers in data indicating mental health issues such as attendance and behaviour. Our mental health strategy is equally targeted at PP as non Pp students and this is evidenced by the profile of students accessing the oasis and our additional mental health additional supports.



		Intervention, EP support and EWMHs.			
4.	All PP students	Whole school policy Assemblies to reinforce whole school policy. Form tutoring monitoring. Pastoral team support. Reward strategies such as Outstanding Learning awards, Inter house competitions, top Form, reward postcards, certificates. Trips. KS Awards Jack patchy Awards Behaviour strategies such as 3 R system, support, report system, restorative system, SLT interventions and Blue Room strategy. 6th form support policy. Pastoral Support Plans. VC strategy Adult and peer mentoring TAF meetings CSS Support and early Intervention. EP support.	All staff Form tutors All Pastoral Team SLT JRI/XGD/XSB ACO/JMC ATA JCO	staff related costs – pastoral and VC = £21221	PP students do not feature as outliers in any statistics related to behaviour/rewards. This is statistically true.
5	Targeted PP students where parental non	Review of parent communication, especially focusing on the needs of	мно/јсо	School costs	Clear plan on how to engage parents of disadvantaged students.



1	_	
engagement is an	parents of disadvantaged	
issue.	students.	Evidence of improvement in
	- Methods of	attitudes and completion of
	communication –	PREP of targeted students.
	what do parents of	
	disadvantaged	Increase of number of
	students need?	parents of disadvantaged
	- Promotion of PREP	students on parent related
	practices at home.	groups/forums.
	- Investigate targeted	This was going to be
	communication.	measured by audit but in the
	- Consider how we	event of lockdown all PP
	recruit to Parents	students were part of the
	Council and PTA and	vulnerable students list and
	how we appeal to	contact was maintained
	parents of	throughout lockdown either
	Disadvantaged	by year group staff or by the
	students.	form tutor. In fact one major
		benefit of lockdown was
		vastly improved parental
		engagement. We will look to
		build on this in the next year.